

## REMARKS

Claims 1 - 15 were pending in this application.

Claims 1-4 and 6-15 were rejected.

Claim 5 was objected to.

Claims 4, 5, 13 and 14 have been cancelled.

Claims 1, 8, 9, 12 and 15 were amended.

### I. Allowable Matter.

The Examiner has indicated that the matter of Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten into independent form. Claim 5 depends from Claim 1, with Claim 4 being an intervening claim. The matter of both Claim 4 and Claim 5 have been added to Claim 1 by amendment. Claim 4 and Claim 5 have been deleted. Claim 1 now represents the matter of Claim 5 rewritten into independent form.

In view of the Examiner's comments, Claim 1 is believed to be distinguishable over the cited prior art and stands in condition for allowance.

#### Claim 8

Claim 8 is an independent claim. Although Claim 5 did not depend from Claim 8, the allowable matter of Claim 5 has been added to Claim 8 by amendment. Claim 8 now includes the step of "providing at least one linkage element that extends from said first model vehicle at a predetermined angle, wherein said predetermined angle can be selectively adjusted by remote control by one of said motors". This language contains the matter deemed allowable in Claim 5. Claim 8 therefore now represents the matter of Claim 5 rewritten into independent form.

In view of the Examiner's comments, the Claim 8 is believed to be distinguishable over the cited prior art and stands in condition for allowance

#### Claim 12

Claim 12 is an independent claim. Although Claim 5 did not depend from Claim 12, the

allowable matter of Claim 5 has been added to Claim 12 by amendment. Claim 12 now includes at least one linkage element that is moved by a motor in a remote control car, therein allowing the position of the secondary car to be selectively changed. This is the matter deemed allowable in Claim 5. Claim 12 therefore now represents the matter of Claim 5 rewritten into independent form.

In view of the Examiner's comments, the Claim 12 is believed to be distinguishable over the cited prior art and stands in condition for allowance

## **II. SUMMARY**

Having fully distinguished the pending claims over the cited art, this application is believed to stand in condition for allowance. However, if the Examiner is of the opinion that such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is requested to call the applicant's attorney at (215) 321-6772 in order that any outstanding issues may be resolved without the necessity of issuing a further Office Action.

Respectfully Submitted,



Eric A. LaMorte  
Reg. No. 34,653  
Attorney For Applicant

LaMorte & Associates  
P.O. BOX 434  
Yardley, PA 19067  
(215) 321-6772